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Beyond Setter Sex and Family
Making Love and Relations

5
American Indian children, "buckskin children," "indio" children, and "bambino" children, are often associated with the idea of "primitives." The word "primitive" has been used to describe these children in various ways. The children are often associated with a "primitive" lifestyle, living in small huts or dwellings, engaged in "primitive" activities such as hunting, fishing, or gathering wild plants. These children are often depicted in a "primitive" manner, with simple clothing and simple tools.

One hundred and fifty years ago, the Dakota U.S. Indian Reservation, 1862.

Indigenous relationships: A Hopi, O'yeale
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Dear Dr. Smith,

I am writing to request a number of key changes to your research paper. First and foremost, I believe that the conclusions drawn in your paper are not supported by the data presented. The data shows a clear trend of increasing income inequality, and this trend is not addressed in your conclusions. Secondly, I believe that the methodology you used in your research is flawed. You used a regression analysis, but the data does not meet the assumptions of linearity and normality required for this type of analysis. Lastly, I believe that your conclusions are not supported by the data. Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

John Doe

Compulsory, better sex, family, and nation
Kin-Making and Cultural Homongamony

The organization of the middle-class family, I suggest, reflects family structure, no matter the race of ascendant professions. For the middle-class professional, I propose a model of integration that is consistent with the middle-class culture and family dynamics. This model emphasizes the importance of interaction and negotiation within the family unit, which in turn enhances the stability and cohesion of the family. The focus is on the relationships and dynamics within the family, rather than on the external factors that may influence the family's functioning.

Integrating these family interaction patterns and cultural norms is crucial to understanding the dynamics of the middle-class family. By examining the role of family interaction, we can better understand the unique challenges and opportunities faced by middle-class families. This understanding can inform future research and interventions aimed at supporting the well-being of middle-class families.

In conclusion, the middle-class family is characterized by a strong emphasis on integration and cultural homongamony. This model provides a framework for understanding the dynamics of family interaction and highlights the importance of cultural homongamony in shaping family relationships. By recognizing the unique challenges faced by middle-class families, we can develop effective strategies to support their well-being and promote positive family outcomes.
confessed to me her suspicion that among our ancestors the multiple wives of one husband, if they were not sisters as they sometimes were, may have had what we today call "sexual" relations between them. She whispered this to me. As if we were blaspheming. But in a world before settler colonialism—outside of the particular biosocial assemblages that now structure settler notions of "gender," "sex," and "sexuality," persons and the intimacies among them were no doubt worked out quite differently.

Nathan Rambukkana, in his 2015 book *Fraught Intimacies: Non/Monogamies in the Public Sphere*, notes the potential of "queer or queered sexual or intimate relationships between sister- or co-wives." He cites a 2008 ethnography of a British Columbia Mormon community, Bountiful, in which two polygamist wives "married each other using Canada's same-sex marriage legislation." The two women "consider themselves life partners, although they have never explicitly discussed whether their relationship has a sexual component."

Recognizing possibilities of other kinds of intimacies—not focused on biological reproduction and making population, but caretaking precious kin that come to us in diverse ways—is an important step to unsettling settler sex and family. So is looking for answers to questions about what intimacies were and are possible beyond the settler impositions we now live with. These are formidable tasks that will be met with resistance by many Indigenous people. Our shaming and victimization, including in "sexual" ways, has been extreme. The imposition of Christianity has ensured that speaking of and engaging in so-called sexual relations in the ways of our ancestors was severely curtailed. Our ancestors lied, omitted, were beaten, locked up, raped, grew ashamed, suicidal, forgot. We have inherited all of that. And we have inherited Christian sexual mores, and settler state biopolitics that monitor, measure, and pathologize our bodies and our peoples, including forcibly sterilizing Indigenous women. Yet they've also promoted heteronormative biological reproduction (for some, not all) as the only way to make babies and kin.

With that history as the cliff looming above us, it is no small thing to ask Indigenous thinkers to turn their decolonial lenses towards a critique of normative marriage and family formations that many of us now aspire to. It is no small request to ask Indigenous people to consider the advantages of open nonmonogamy, with a community's knowledge and partners' consent as an important decolonial option. For now, few will have that choice. I suspect there are especially younger Indigenous people who might join me in thinking hard on the nonmonogamous arrangements of our ancestors. We are so keen to embrace other decolonizing projects—to consider the wisdom of our ancestors' ways of thinking. Why should we not also consider nonmonogamous family forms in our communities?

I have had especially white feminists bristle at my refusal to condemn Dakota historical practices of plural marriage. How can I support "polygamy"—with that word for them meaning one man with several wives? It can also refer to one woman with multiple men. These women's views on nonmonogamy are conditioned by their impressions of nonconsensual or not rigorously consensual forms of nonmonogamy in which men alone have multiple wives. They often cite Mormon or Muslim polygamies. I can't speak with much expertise to the variety of nonmonogamous practices among those peoples, although I know that
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Conclusion

that I view open non-monogamy as but one step in a process of deconstructing monogamy as I evolve my identity as a non-monogamous person. My struggle is in redefining my self-identity and understanding the boundaries of my own relationships. I am also interested in how my experiences with open non-monogamy are incorporated into my understanding of monogamy and how it impacts my personal political experience.

When does a personal political experience end and society's start? These are questions that I explore in my research. My work is based on the idea that non-monogamy and monogamy are not mutually exclusive. My research focuses on finding common ground between these two concepts.

Sources:


My work on non-monogamy and monogamy is influenced by my experiences with open non-monogamy and my understanding of the boundaries of these relationships.
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